EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

"Express Mail" mailing label No:	EU 9747800250 US	ORM WOA SO TO
Date of Deposit:	Nov. 20th, 2006	
I hereby certify that the follo	wing documents:	
• attorney's o	cover letter;	
 a copy of th 	e Office Action;	
• Response to	the Office Action;	
 Claims List 	ing;	
and,		
• a copy of th	is Express Mail Certificate;	•

are being deposited in a single envelope with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and such envelope is addressed to:

Mail Stop AF
Attn: Examiner Andre Boyce
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

George S. Cole, Esq.

(Signature of person mailing documents)

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER George S. Cole, Esq. NOV 2 0 2006 495 Seaport Court, Suite 101 Redwood City, CA 94063

Tel. (650) 322-7760 Fax. (650) 322-6117

Date: 11/20/06

Tot. # of pages (with header): 50

US Patent and Trademark Office To:

Recipient's Fax: 571-273-8300

Attn: Examiner Andre Boyce

From: George S. Cole, Esq.

Recipient's Phone: 571-272-6726

zed ble

Dear Examiner Boyce:

This After-Final Response is being sent by both Fax (to make it immediately accessible to you) and by Express Mail (for certainty of delivery).



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Putent and Trademork Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Brs. 1450
Alexandria, Virginis 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	DAVID O. MCGOVERAN		8198
09/476,711	12/30/1999		EXAM	INER
75	90 08/18/2006	_	BOYCE, A	ANDRE D
GEORGE S C	OLE	RECEIVED		
495 SEAPORT	COURT SUITE 101 TTY, CA 94063	GENTRAL PAX CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KEDWOODC	111, CA 74003	GENTAL O D 2006	3623	
		NOA 5 0 5000	DATE MAILED: 08/18/200	06

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

11-20-06 05:37pm From-		T-464 P.004 F-267	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•*	09/476.711	MCGOVERAN, DAVID O.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andre Boyce	3623	
- The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled (2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 112-190 and 192 is/are pendida (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 112-190 and 192 is/are rejections is/are objected to.	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M. ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN (CFR 1.138(a)). In no event, however, may a ation. To period will apply and will expire SiX (8) MC by statute, cause the application to become a time mailing date of this communication, even on 25 May 2006. This action is non-final. This action is non-final. allowance except for formal may under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 Communication. withdrawn from consideration.	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ICATION. I reply be timoly filled INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 139). If timely filed, may reduce any	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	Examiner. a) accepted or b) objected ion to the drawing(s) be held in abe the correction is required if the draw by the Examiner. Note the attactor foreign priority under 35 U.S. documents have been received. Incuments have been received if the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). hed Office Action or form PTO-152. C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). In Application No een received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Review (P 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper		
U.S. Palent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060807	

Application/Control Number: 09/476,711

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- This Final office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed May 25, 2006. Claims 112 and 192 have been amended. Claim 191 has been canceled.
 Claims 112-190 and 192 are pending.
- The previously pending rejections to claims 112-192 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been withdrawn.
- Applicant's arguments filed May 25, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 112-190 and 192 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Here, the result of the invention lacks concreteness, since the result is not assured and reproducible, as discussed below. As such, the

Application/Control Number: 09/476,711

Art Unit: 3623

invention cannot be used as intended without undue experimentation, and is therefore not enabled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 Claims 112-190 and 192 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In order to be considered useful, the claimed invention must possess a specific, substantial, and credible utility. In order to be concrete, the result must be assured and reproducible. In order to be tangible, the invention must provide a real world result and must involve more than a manipulation of an abstract idea.

In the present case, independent claims 112 and 192 provide no concrete result. Claim 112 recites declaring and stating an objective, declaring and stating at least one objective rule set, delegating to at least one specific set of actors, determining the satisfaction of an rule's condition, modifying at least on element, etc. However, the claimed invention is merely a "reasoning paradigm," (i.e., theoretical approach/roadmap) as described by Applicant, and produces no concrete result. Moreover, this reasoning paradigm (i.e., claimed invention) is subjective, whereby the result is neither assured nor repeatable. Claims 113-190 are rejected based

Application/Control Number: 09/476,711

Art Unit: 3623

upon the same rationale. Claim 192 recites means for accepting, comparing, delegating, determining, modifying, etc. However, these limitations provide no concrete result, since the result cannot be assured or reproduced, as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

In the Remarks, Applicant argues that the totality of claims 112 and 192, 8. including executing automatically at least a subset of the dynamic pattern of operations that progresses towards said objective, and means for using said set of steps to further the attainment of a goal, respectively, effect a useful, concrete, and tangible result. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and submits that as discussed above, Applicant's limitations provide a reasoning paradigm that provides no concrete result, i.e., the result cannot be assured or reproduced. In addition, the affidavit of Professor Bidgoli makes no mention of the concreteness of the invention, and is, in any event, just one of many factors with respect to the Examiner's determination of whether the invention is statutory under 35 USC § 101.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 9. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/476,711

Art Unit: 3623

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre Boyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-6726. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Haffz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

Michelle Tarae C. Michelle Tarae Patent Examiner Art Unit 3623

Application/Control Number: 09/476,711

Art Unit: 3623

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-

272-1000.

adb

August 7, 2006

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 2 0 2006 Page 6

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 2 0 2006

In Re Application of: David O. McGoveran

Serial No.

09/476,711

Filed: For:

Dec. 30, 1999

A Declarative Method

Examiner:

Andre D. Boyce

Group Art Unit:

3623

Atty. Docket No:

McG-003

Date:

Nov. 20, 2006

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

P.O. Box 1450

Arlington, VA 22313

Transmitted herewith is a Response to the Final Office Action dated 08/18/2006 for the above application.

Small entity status for this application under 37 CFR §1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.

Extension of time as necessary is requested and a payment for the required fee is enclosed.

_X__ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any necessary fees, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account 50-0705 associated with this communication for any related purpose, including: (A DUPLICATE COPY OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED)

_X__ Any additional filing fees required for presentation of extra claims

_X__ Any extension or petition fees.

Respectfully Submitted:

George S. Cole, Esq. PTO #40,563

George S. Cole, Esq. 495 Seaport Court, Suite 101 Redwood City, CA 94063 Tel: (650) 322-7760

Fax:(650) 322-6117 GSCdLawyer@aol.com

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 2 0 2006

ln Re Application Of: David O. McGoveran |

Serial No.

09/476,711

Filed: For: Dec. 30, 1999

A Declarative Method |

Examiner:

Andre D. Boyce

Group Art Unit: 362

3623

Atty. Docket No:

McG-003

Date:

Nov. 20, 2006

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

P.O. Box 1450

Arlington, VA 22313

SIR: Transmitted herewith is a Response to the Final Office Action dated 08/18/2006 for the above application.

X_ Small entity status for this application under 37 CFR §1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.

Extension of time as necessary is requested and a payment for the required fee is enclosed.

X_ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any necessary fees, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account 50-0705 associated with this communication for any related purpose, including: (A DUPLICATE COPY OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED)

X Any additional filing fees required for presentation of extra claims

_X__ Any extension or petition fees.

Respectfully Submitted:

George S. Cole, Esq. PTO #40,563

George S. Cole, Esq. 495 Seaport Court, Suite 101 Redwood City, CA 94063 Tel: (650) 322-7760

Fax:(650) 322-6117 GSCdLawyer@sol.com